RG-improved Prediction for 750 GeV Resonance Production at the LHC

Xiaohui Liu
Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

Hong Zhang
Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

We present a renormalization-group (RG) improved prediction for 750 GeV heavy state production recently seen as the diphoton excess at the LHC. Due to the universality of QCD feature in the threshold region, our result provides reasonable estimate of the K-factor for most existing leading order predictions, independent of the specific nature of the resonance. We consider specifically the spin-2 warped Kaluza-Klein graviton model to highlight our calculation. We performed soft gluon resummation near threshold at next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic (NNLL) accuracy together with resumming enhanced corrections from a towers of π^2 due to analytic continuation. We find the resummation effects are significant. When matching our resummed result to next-to-leading order calculation, we find our improved predictions exceed the leading fixed-order results by about 56% ($K = 1.56$) for the LHC energies at 13 TeV and 14 TeV, and about 63% ($K = 1.63$) for 8 TeV.

arXiv:1603.07190v1 [hep-ph] 23 Mar 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

An excess in the di-photon invariant mass spectrum at about 750 GeV has recently been observed by both the ATLAS and CMS collaborations at the 13 TeV LHC [1, 2] with a local significance 3.9σ (2.3σ global) by ATLAS and 2.6σ (1.2σ global) by CMS. Significant efforts have been made to explain this excess in various beyond the Standard Model (SM) scenarios [3]. New heavy resonances which couple to the SM fields are proposed to account for the excess. Most proposals suggest the dominant production mechanism is either gluon-gluon fusion or $q\bar{q}$ annihilation implied by the diphoton final state. So far, the total production rate is one of the most important observables to constrain the proposed model and to suggest further investigations.

Though in general the leading-order (LO) prediction is used in most analyses, the production rate of such heavy state at 8-14 TeV colliders usually receives giant higher-order corrections due to the threshold effects caused by the steep falling-off behaviors of the parton distribution functions (PDFs) at large x. Taking the Kaluza-Klein (KK) graviton production [4] as an example, an NLO K-factor of order 1.5 was found [5–8] , which is mainly from the threshold effect, as explained below in Sec. II B. Such large corrections will dramatically change the constraints obtained based on a LO calculation, therefore affect our analyses. Also a large NLO K-factor implies the need for even higher-order corrections to provide reliable theoretical predictions, as we have seen in the Higgs case [9–16].

In this work, we investigate the effect of higher-order QCD corrections on the production rate of a 750 GeV resonance at the LHC. We include all-order dominant contributions from soft emissions in the threshold region and the π^2-enhanced terms via resummation within the framework of the Soft-Collinear-Effective-Theory (SCET) [17–21]. We consider particularly the case in which a spin-2 warped KK graviton with a narrow width is produced as the source of the 750 GeV excess, by noting that a significant more contribution to the signal from the EBEE events (one of the photons is reconstructed in the electromagnetic calorimeter endcaps) than the EBEB ones (both photons are reconstructed in the electromagnetic calorimeter barrel) in the CMS analysis could favor a spin-2 resonance [22]. Due to the universal feature of QCD interaction at the threshold, the presented K-factors are reasonable for predicting production rates of any other spin-0 or spin-2 particles with mass around 750 GeV. In this work, we provide the predicted K-factors for productions by both gg fusion and $q\bar{q}$ annihilation.

II. THEORETICAL SET-UPS

A. Model

For the purpose of highlighting our calculation, we consider the lightest KK graviton $h_{1\alpha\beta}$, whose interaction with the SM fields can be described by the 4-dimensional effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{\Lambda}\, h_{1\alpha\beta}(x)\, T^{\alpha\beta}(x)\,, \tag{1}$$

where $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is the stress tensor of the SM and Λ is a dimension-full constant which characterizes the strength of the interaction. The interaction in Eq. (1) is completely determined by Λ and the mass of the lightest KK graviton m_1.

In the 5-dimensional Randall-Sundrum model [4], Λ is related to the 4-dimensional reduced Planck scale $\bar{M}_P$

$$\Lambda = e^{-kr_c\pi}\bar{M}_P\,, \tag{2}$$

where r_c is the compactification radius and k is a scale of order of the Planck scale. In the context of the RS model, the mass of the lightest KK graviton is given by

$$m_1 = k\, x_1 e^{-kr_c\pi}\,, \tag{3}$$

where x_1 is the first root of the first order Bessel function.

B. Fixed order results

The hadronic cross section for producing a KK graviton at the LHC can be written as

$$\sigma = \sum_{ij} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \, \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \, f_i(x_1, \mu_F) \, f_j(x_2, \mu_F) \,, \quad (4)$$

where $f_i(x, \mu_F)$ is the PDF evaluated at the factorization scale μ_F and $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ is the partonic cross section for producing the KK graviton. In general, for a fixed order calculation, $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ depends on both the renormalization scale μ_R and the factorization scale μ_F.

The LO partonic cross sections are given by [6]

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(0)}_{gg} = \frac{\pi}{16\Lambda^2}\delta(1-z) \,, \quad \hat{\sigma}^{(0)}_{q\bar{q}} = \frac{\pi}{24\Lambda^2}\delta(1-z) \,, \quad (5)$$

where we define the threshold parameter $z = \frac{m_1^2}{\hat{s}}$. The parameter z measures the ratio of the KK graviton mass m_1 to the partonic center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$. In the limit $z \to 1$, we approach the partonic threshold region, in which the partonic center-of-mass energy is just enough to produce the KK graviton and all other possible radiations are suppressed.

At the NLO, the corrections to $\hat{\sigma}$ are found to be

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_{gg} = z\,\sigma^{(0)}_{gg}\,\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\left[\delta(1-z)\left(\frac{\beta_0}{2}\log\frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} + \pi^2 + \frac{35}{36}N_F - \frac{203}{12}\right) + 2C_A \log\frac{m_1^2}{z\mu^2}\left[\frac{1}{1-z}\right]_+ + 4C_A\left[\frac{\log(1-z)}{1-z}\right]_+ + \dots\right], \quad (6)$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_{q\bar{q}} = z\,\hat{\sigma}^{(0)}_{q\bar{q}}\,\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\left[\delta(1-z)\left(\frac{3}{2}C_F\log\frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{4}{9}\pi^2 - \frac{20}{3}\right) + 2C_F\,\log\frac{m_1^2}{z\mu^2}\left[\frac{1}{1-z}\right]_+ + 4C_F\left[\frac{\log(1-z)}{1-z}\right]_+ + \cdots\right]. \quad (7)$$

Here we only show the most singular terms as $z \to 1$ in the NLO corrections. The omitted nonsingular terms in the partonic threshold limit (terms vanishing as $z \to 1$) and contributions to $\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_{qg}$ can be found in [6].

The NLO corrections result in a large K-factor around 1.4 in the cross section σ for $m_1 = 750\,\mathrm{GeV}$ at the LHC13 when choosing $\mu_R = \mu_F = m_1$. The large NLO correction suggests the needs for including even higher order terms for reliable theoretical results. Interestingly, the large correction is mainly due to the non-vanishing terms in the partonic threshold limit, showed in Eq. (6) and (7). In Table I, we list the results from LO, NLO and NLO threshold approximation for both LHC8 and LHC13 using CT14nlo PDF sets [23]. Though in both cases, the 750 GeV resonance is away from the machine threshold and one expects that the singular contributions in Eq. (6) and (7) are smeared and not important, explicit calculations show that the leading singular terms well approximate the full NLO results. Roughly 99% of the NLO corrections are captured by the threshold approximation.

TABLE I. A comparison between LO, NLO and NLO threshold approximation at 8TeV and 13TeV. Here $\Lambda = 10$ TeV.

σ (pb)	LO	NLO	NLO threshold
8 TeV LHC	1.20	1.74	1.76
13 TeV LHC	5.08	7.31	7.32

The feature is believed to be caused by the parton distributions which fall off very steeply at large x. The rapid falling-off shape of PDFs serves as a cut off in the machine energy and forces the KK graviton production to the partonic threshold region, in which large logarithmic corrections dominate. The logarithmic enhancement is an effect of soft radiations in the final state near the threshold which makes all order predictions of the dominant contributions possible.

C. RG-improved predictions

The leading singular behavior of the partonic cross section $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ can be written in a factorized form using SCET [24]

$$\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}^{(0)}\, z\, H(m_1, \mu, \mu_H)\, \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{m_1}{\sqrt{z}}(1-z), \mu, \mu_s\right) \,, \quad (8)$$

where $H(m_1, \mu_H)$ is the hard function for producing a KK graviton via gg fusion or $q\bar{q}$ annihilation, which has been normalized to 1 at the LO. At higher orders, H receives virtual loop corrections. At the NLO, we find

$$H^{(1)}_{gg} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\left[-C_A\,L^2 + \beta_0\,L + \frac{\pi^2}{2} + \frac{35}{18}N_F - \frac{203}{6}\right],$$
$$H^{(1)}_{q\bar{q}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\left[-C_F\,L^2 + 3C_F\,L + \frac{2}{9}\pi^2 - \frac{40}{3}\right], \quad (9)$$

where $L = \log\frac{-m_1^2 - i0^+}{\mu_H^2}$. We note that the logarithmic terms in Eq. (9) are solely determined by the universal feature of the QCD interaction while only part of the

remainder constant terms depend on the specific properties, for instance the spin, of the proposed heavy particle. We extract the hard function anomalous dimensions from its UV singular behavior, which allows us to resum logarithms appearing in the hard function. The resummed hard function takes the form

$$H(\mu) = H(\mu_H) e^{4S(\mu,\mu_H) - 2A_\Gamma(\mu,\mu_H) L - 2A_H(\mu,\mu_H)} \,. \quad (10)$$

All ingredients needed for achieving the resummation in Eq. (10) can be found in Appendix A. The hard scale μ_H is chosen to minimize the logarithms in the hard function in Eq. (9). It has been argued in Ref. [25] that the choice $\mu_H^2 \sim m_1^2$ gives rise to π^2 terms which are usually large and make the perturbative expansion of the hard function unstable. In the same reference, a negative choice of $\mu_H^2 \sim -m_1^2 - i0^+$ is proposed to render L small and allows the related π^2 terms to be resummed. In our work, we also default to this hard matching scale choice and resum the π^2 enhancement terms, though the effect of choosing negative hard scale is expected to be small due to the smallness of α_s at $\mu_H \sim 750\,\text{GeV}$.

The soft function $\mathcal{S}$ is represented as the vacuum expectation value of Wilson loops of soft gluons with energy of order $\frac{m_1}{\sqrt{z}}(1-z)$ and is entirely independent of the properties of the heavy beyond SM particle. We have normalized the LO soft function to $\delta(1-z)$. Up to the NLO, the soft function is found to be

$$\mathcal{S} = \delta(1-z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_{\text{color}} \left[\delta(1-z) \left(\log^2 \frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right) + 4 \log \frac{m_1^2}{z\mu^2} \left[\frac{1}{1-z} \right]_+ + 8 \left[\frac{\log(1-z)}{1-z} \right]_+ \right] , \quad (11)$$

where $C_{\text{color}} = C_A$ for productions induced by gg fusion while $C_{\text{color}} = C_F$ for $q\bar{q}$ annihilation. It can be checked that once combining the hard function in Eq. (9) and the soft function in Eq. (11), Eq. (8) reproduces the singular contributions at the NLO as shown in Eq. (6) and Eq. (7).

The resummed soft function can be obtained by solving its RG equation, which gives [24]

$$\mathcal{S}(\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \exp\left[-4S(\mu,\mu_s) + 2a_\gamma(\mu,\mu_s)\right] \tilde{s}(\partial_\eta) \frac{m_1}{\mu_s} \left(\frac{m_1(1-z)}{\sqrt{z}\,\mu_s} \right)^{-1+2\eta} \frac{e^{-2\gamma_E \eta}}{\Gamma(2\eta)} , \quad (12)$$

where $\eta = 2A_\Gamma(\mu,\mu_s)$ and $\tilde{s}$ is the soft function in the Laplacian space which can be related to the position space Wilson loop

$$\tilde{s}(L) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_{\text{color}} \left(L^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \,. \quad (13)$$

Other components can be found in Appendix A. One can check that as $\eta \to 0$, the resummed soft function reduces to the fixed order one in Eq. (11).

The soft matching scale μ_s is chosen to stabilize the perturbative expansion of the soft function in Eq. (11) and to minimize its μ_s dependence, which can be achieved by setting $\mu_s \sim m_1(1-z)$ to minimize the large logarithmic terms. However relating μ_s to partonic kinematics will unavoidably give rise to Landau poles when $z \to 1$. To avoid generating Landau poles, we determine the soft scale μ_s dynamically by stabilizing the fixed order soft function contribution to the hadronic cross section σ after integrating over z with the presence of PDFs and keeping other scales μ and μ_H fixed. In fig. 1, we show the μ_s dependence of the hadronic cross section by fixing $\mu = \mu_H = m_1$ at the LHC13. We see that at μ_s around 220 GeV for gg fusion and around 300 GeV for $q\bar{q}$ annihilation, the soft function contribution is minimized. Similar situation happens to LHC8 and LHC14. Therefore we default our central soft scale choice $\mu_s = 300\,\text{Gev}$ and $\mu_s = 400\,\text{Gev}$ for gg and $q\bar{q}$, respectively and scan the range from 200 GeV to 500 GeV for estimating the uncertainty associated with μ_s.

Once we combine the resummed hard coefficient in Eq. (10) and soft function in Eq. (12), a RG-improved prediction for producing a 750 GeV resonance is realized. To include the remaining nonsingular contributions for KK graviton, we match the resummed cross section onto the NLO results using

$$\sigma_{\text{NLO+NNLL}} = \sigma_{\text{NNLL}} + \sigma_{\text{NLO}} - \sigma_{\text{threshold}} \,, \quad (14)$$

where σ_{NNLL} is the NNLL RG-improved cross section and $\sigma_{\text{threshold}}$ is given by Eq. (6) and Eq. (7). As we have seen in the previous section, the difference $\sigma_{\text{NLO}} - \sigma_{\text{threshold}}$ is small.

We emphasize that in σ_{NNLL}, only $\hat{\sigma}^{(0)}$ and the non-logarithmic terms in the hard function in Eq. (9) depend on the specific model discussed. Due to the dominant and universal threshold effects, the RG-improved predictions will be mainly model (spin) independent, especially for the K-factors.



FIG. 1. (a) μ_s dependence for gg fusion hadronic cross section σ at the LHC13. (b) μ_s dependence for $q\bar{q}$ annihilation hadronic cross section σ at the LHC13.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we present numerical results for producing the KK graviton at the LHC. In all cases, including the LO cross sections, we use CT14nlo PDF sets [23]. In the fixed order predictions, we set $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu = m_1 = 750$ GeV as our central scale choice, while the in RG-improved results, we choose $\mu = \mu_H = m_1$ and μ_s determined in the previous section as the central scales. We vary μ_H and μ simultaneously up and down by a factor of two to estimate the hard and the factorization scale uncertainties. The uncertainty estimation associated with varying μ_s is described in the previous section. We take the largest deviation from the central value due to these variations as the theoretical scale uncertainty.

TABLE II. total cross sections: σ(pb) $\times \left(\frac{10\text{TeV}}{\Lambda}\right)^2$

σ(pb)	8TeV	13TeV	14TeV
LO	$1.201^{+0.144}_{-0.120}$	$5.084^{+0.444}_{-0.390}$	$6.210^{+0.512}_{-0.456}$
NLO+NNLL	$1.954^{+0.021}_{-0.135}$	$7.971^{+0.152}_{-0.652}$	$9.690^{+0.192}_{-0.806}$

In Table II, we present and compare the total cross sections from the LO theory and the NNLL + NLO RG-improved predictions. We show predictions for LHC8, LHC13 and LHC14. We have normalized the results to the cross sections with $\Lambda = 10$ TeV. We see that the RG-improved calculations substantially enhance the cross sections by roughly 60% with respect to the LO predictions and of order 10% with respect to the NLO cross sections, for all machine energies studied. Meanwhile the theoretical uncertainties get better controlled after including higher order contributions, drops from 22% (16%) to 8% (10%) at LHC8 (LHC13 and LHC14).

The K-factors are showed in Table III, which defined as $\sigma_{\text{NLO+NNLL}}/\sigma_{\text{LO}}$. We find the K-factors are around 1.6 for all machine energies considered. The large K-factors indicate the importance of including higher order corrections and imply possibly large modification to the results and constraints obtained based on LO analyses.

TABLE III. K-factors for total cross sections

	8TeV	13TeV	14TeV
NLO+NNLL	$1.63^{+0.014}_{-0.115}$	$1.56^{+0.038}_{-0.120}$	$1.56^{+0.031}_{-0.129}$

TABLE IV. K-factors for gg and $q\bar{q}$ channels

	8TeV	13TeV	14TeV
NNLL ($q\bar{q}$)	$1.170^{+0.026}_{-0.031}$	$1.137^{+0.017}_{-0.022}$	$1.134^{+0.014}_{-0.021}$
NNLL (gg)	$1.777^{+0.026}_{-0.084}$	$1.638^{+0.033}_{-0.090}$	$1.622^{+0.034}_{-0.090}$

In Table IV, we show the K-factors for gg and $q\bar{q}$ channels, separately. To minimize the model dependence, instead of matching the resummed results onto the NLO calculations, we use $\sigma_{\text{NNLL}}/\sigma_{\text{LO}}$, in which σ_{NNLL} is mainly determined by the threshold QCD effects. The K-factor is found to be around 1.1-1.2 for $q\bar{q}$ annihilation channel and 1.6-1.7 for gg fusion. We expect that the K-factors presented reasonably estimate the higher order effects for most 750 GeV heavy resonance models at the current stage.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have studied the effect of higher-order QCD corrections for producing a heavy resonance at the 750 GeV diphoton invariant mass at the LHC. In particular, we highlight our calculation focusing on the spin-2 KK graviton case which is one of the possible candidates for explaining the 750 GeV diphoton excess at the LHC [22], however the framework is valid for most heavy particle productions with $m = 750$ GeV regardless its spin and other properties.

To provide reliable theoretical predictions, we included all order dominant threshold contributions up to NNLL via resummation and further matched the resummed results to the fixed order NLO calculations. We found that the threshold effects substantially enhance the cross sections by approximately 60% with respect to LO for both 8 TeV and 13 or 14 TeV. The large K-factors of order 1.6 justify the necessity of including higher order corrections in recent attempts to explain the $\gamma\gamma$ excess reported by ATLAS and CMS.

We calculated the NNLL + NLO K-factors for the KK graviton total production rates at 8 TeV, 13 TeV and 14 TeV along with the NNLL K-factors for gg and $q\bar{q}$ channels. The results are presented in Table III and IV. Due to the QCD nature of the dominant higher order corrections, we expect that the K-factor calculated in this work can be used as a reasonable estimate of higher order effects for other possible candidate models for 750 GeV di-photon resonance at the current stage. If in the future the intriguing signal is confirmed to be due to KK graviton types of particles, our calculation can be helpful in further investigations of the new particle properties.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

X.L. would like to thank Hao Zhang for inspiring discussions. X. L. is supported by the Department of Energy under the grant DE-FG02-93ER-40762. H.Z. is supported in part by the Department of Energy under the grant DE-SC0011726.

Appendix A: Useful formulae for evolution

Here we list all useful formulae used in realizing the NNLL resummation. The $S(\mu_f, \mu_i)$ function is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mu_f,\mu_i) = \frac{\Gamma_0}{4\beta_0^2}\Bigg\{ & \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_i)}\Big(1-\frac{1}{r}-\ln r\Big) + \Big(\frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0}-\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0}\Big)(1-r+\ln r) + \frac{\beta_1}{2\beta_0}\ln^2 r \\ & + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_i)}{4\pi}\Bigg[\Big(\frac{\beta_1\Gamma_1}{\beta_0\Gamma_0}-\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0}\Big)(1-r+r\ln r) + \Big(\frac{\beta_1^2}{\beta_0^2}-\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0}\Big)(1-r)\ln r \\ & - \Big(\frac{\beta_1^2}{\beta_0^2}-\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0}-\frac{\beta_1\Gamma_1}{\beta_0\Gamma_0}+\frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_0}\Big)\frac{(1-r)^2}{2}\Bigg]\Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

with $r = \alpha_s(\mu_f)/\alpha_s(\mu_i)$, and for $A_\Gamma(\mu_f, \mu_i)$ we have

$$A_\Gamma(\mu_f,\mu_i) = \frac{\Gamma_0}{2\beta_0}\left\{\log r + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_i)}{4\pi}\left(\frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0}-\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0}\right)(r-1) + \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu_i)}{16\pi^2}\left[\frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_0}-\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0}-\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0}\left(\frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0}-\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0}\right)\right]\frac{r^2-1}{2}\right\}. \tag{A2}$$

Here we have for the $\beta[\alpha_s]$ function and cusp anomalous dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= \frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{4}{3}T_F N_F\,, \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{34}{3}C_A^2 - \frac{20}{3}C_A T_F N_F - 4C_F T_F N_F\,, \\ \beta_2 &= \frac{2857}{54}C_A^3 + \left(C_F^2 - \frac{205}{18}C_F C_A - \frac{1415}{54}C_A^2\right)2T_F N_F + \left(\frac{11}{9}C_F + \frac{79}{54}C_A\right)4T_F^2 N_F^2\,, \\ \Gamma_0 &= 4\,, \\ \Gamma_1 &= 4\left[C_A\left(\frac{67}{9}-\frac{\pi^2}{3}\right) - \frac{20}{9}T_F N_F\right], \\ \Gamma_2 &= 4\Bigg[\left(\frac{245}{6}-\frac{134\pi^2}{27}+\frac{11\pi^4}{45}+\frac{22\zeta_3}{3}\right)C_A^2 + \left(-\frac{418}{27}+\frac{40\pi^2}{27}-\frac{56\zeta_3}{3}\right)C_A T_F N_F \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{55}{3}+16\zeta_3\right)C_F T_F N_F - \frac{16}{27}T_F^2 N_F^2\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

The functions A_H and a_γ used in the hard and soft evolutions are needed to order α_s to achieve NNLL resummation. This can be obtained by substituting the Γ_0 and Γ_1 in A_Γ with the non-cusp anomalous dimensions $\gamma_{i,0}$ and $\gamma_{i,1}$ for the hard or the soft function and truncating out the α_s^2 terms. The hard non-cusp anomalous dimensions for the $q\bar{q}$

channel are

$$\gamma^q_{H0} = -6C_F\,,$$
$$\gamma^q_{H1} = C_F^2(-3+4\pi^2-48\zeta_3) + C_F C_A\left(-\frac{961}{27}-\frac{11\pi^2}{3}+52\zeta_3\right) + N_F C_F T_F\left(\frac{260}{27}+\frac{4\pi^2}{3}\right), \tag{A4}$$

while for gg channel hard function, we have

$$\gamma^g_{H0} = -2\beta_0\,,$$
$$\gamma^g_{H1} = C_A^2\left(-\frac{1384}{27}+\frac{11}{9}\pi^2+4\zeta_3\right) + C_A\,N_F\,T_F\,\left(\frac{512}{27}-\frac{4}{9}\pi^2\right) + 8C_F N_F T_F\,. \tag{A5}$$

The soft function anomalous dimensions are

$$\gamma_{s0} = 0$$
$$\gamma_{s1} = C_{\rm color}\,C_A\left(-\frac{808}{27}+\frac{11}{9}\pi^2+28\zeta_3\right) + C_{\rm color}\,T_F\,N_F\left(\frac{224}{27}-\frac{4\pi^2}{9}\right), \tag{A6}$$

where $C_{\rm color} = C_A$ for gg fusion and $C_{\rm color} = C_F$ for $q\bar{q}$ annihilation.

[1] Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2015-081, CERN, Geneva (2015), URL http://cds.cern.ch/record/2114853.

[2] Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004, CERN, Geneva (2015), URL http://cds.cern.ch/record/2114808.

[3] See, for an incomplete list, K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, arXiv:1512.04850 [hep-ph]; Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi, and A. Djouadi, arXiv:1512.04913 [hep-ph]; M. Backovic, A. Mariotti, and D. Redigolo, arXiv:1512.04917 [hep-ph]; A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi, and G. Moreau, arXiv:1512.04921 [hep-ph]; Y. Nakai, R. Sato, and K. Tobioka, arXiv:1512.04924 [hep-ph]; S. Knapen, T. Melia, M. Papucci, and K. Zurek, arXiv:1512.04928 [hep-ph]; D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo, and D. Marzocca, arXiv:1512.04929 [hep-ph]; A. Pilaftsis, arXiv:1512.04931 [hep-ph]; R. Franceschini, G. F. Giudice, J. F. Kamenik, M. McCullough, A. Pomarol, R. Rattazzi, M. Redi, F. Riva, A. Strumia, and R. Torre, arXiv:1512.04933 [hep-ph]; S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola, and M. Raidal, arXiv:1512.04939 [hep-ph]; T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong, N. Kitajima, and F. Takahashi, arXiv:1512.05295 [hep-ph]; S. D. McDermott, P. Meade, and H. Ramani, arXiv:1512.05326 [hep-ph]; J. Ellis, S. A. R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz, and T. You, arXiv:1512.05327 [hep-ph]; M. Low, A. Tesi, and L.-T. Wang, arXiv:1512.05328 [hep-ph]; B. Bellazzini, R. Franceschini, F. Sala, and J. Serra, arXiv:1512.05330 [hep-ph]; C. Petersson and R. Torre, arXiv:1512.05333 [hep-ph]; R. S. Gupta, S. Jaeger, Y. Kats, G. Perez, and E. Stamou, arXiv:1512.05332 [hep-ph]; E. Molinaro, F. Sannino, and N. Vignaroli, arXiv:1512.05334 [hep-ph]; B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, I. Gogoladze, and T. Li, arXiv:1512.05439 [hep-ph]; Q.-H. Cao, Y. Liu, K.-P. Xie, B. Yan, and D.-M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.05542 [hep-ph]; S. Matsuzaki and K. Yamawaki, arXiv:1512.05564 [hep-ph]; A. Kobakhidze, F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.05585 [hep-ph]; R. Martinez, F. Ochoa, and C. F. Sierra, arXiv:1512.05617 [hep-ph]; P. Cox, A. D. Medina, T. S. Ray, and A. Spray, arXiv:1512.05618 [hep-ph]; D. Becirevic, E. Bertuzzo, O. Sumensari, and R. Z. Funchal, arXiv:1512.05623 [hep-ph]; J. M. No, V. Sanz, and J. Setford, arXiv:1512.05700 [hep-ph]; S. V. Demidov and D. S. Gorbunov, arXiv:1512.05723 [hep-ph]; W. Chao, R. Huo, and J.-H. Yu, arXiv:1512.05738 [hep-ph]; S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff, and C. Royon, arXiv:1512.05751 [hep-ph]; D. Curtin and C. B. Verhaaren, arXiv:1512.05753 [hep-ph]; L. Bian, N. Chen, D. Liu, and J. Shu, arXiv:1512.05759 [hep-ph]; J. Chakrabortty, A. Choudhury, P. Ghosh, S. Mondal, and T. Srivastava, arXiv:1512.05767 [hep-ph]; A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion, and Y. Jiang, arXiv:1512.05771 [hep-ph]; P. Agrawal, J. Fan, B. Heidenreich, M. Reece, and M. Strassler, arXiv:1512.05775 [hep-ph]; C. Csaki, J. Hubisz, and J. Terning, arXiv:1512.05776 [hep-ph]; A. Falkowski, O. Slone, and T. Volansky, arXiv:1512.05777 [hep-ph]; D. Aloni, K. Blum, A. Dery, A. Efrati, and Y. Nir, arXiv:1512.05778 [hep-ph]; Y. Bai, J. Berger, and R. Lu, arXiv:1512.05779 [hep-ph]; E. Gabrielli, K. Kannike, B. Mele, M. Raidal, C. Spethmann, and H. Veerme, arXiv:1512.05961 [hep-ph]; R. Benbrik, C.-H. Chen, and T. Nomura, arXiv:1512.06028 [hep-ph]; J. S. Kim, J. Reuter, K. Rolbiecki, and R. R. de Austri, arXiv:1512.06083 [hep-ph]; A. Alves, A. G. Dias, and K. Sinha, arXiv:1512.06091 [hep-ph]; E. Megias, O. Pujolas, and M. Quiros, arXiv:1512.06106 [hep-ph]; L. M. Carpenter, R. Colburn, and J. Goodman, arXiv:1512.06107 [hep-ph]; J. Bernon and C. Smith, arXiv:1512.06113 [hep-ph]; W. Chao, arXiv:1512.06297 [hep-ph]; M. T. Arun and P. Saha, arXiv:1512.06335 [hep-ph]; C. Han, H. M. Lee, M. Park, and V. Sanz, arXiv:1512.06376 [hep-ph]; S. Chang, arXiv:1512.06426 [hep-ph]; I. Chakraborty and A. Kundu, arXiv:1512.06508 [hep-ph]; R. Ding,

L. Huang, T. Li, and B. Zhu, arXiv:1512.06560 [hep-ph]; H. Han, S. Wang, and S. Zheng, arXiv:1512.06562 [hep-ph]; X.-F. Han and L. Wang, arXiv:1512.06587 [hep-ph]; M.-x. Luo, K. Wang, T. Xu, L. Zhang, and G. Zhu, arXiv:1512.06670 [hep-ph]; J. Chang, K. Cheung, and C.-T. Lu, arXiv:1512.06671 [hep-ph]; D. Bardhan, D. Bhatia, A. Chakraborty, U. Maitra, S. Raychaudhuri, and T. Samui, arXiv:1512.06674 [hep-ph]; T.-F. Feng, X.-Q. Li, H.-B. Zhang, and S.-M. Zhao, arXiv:1512.06696 [hep-ph]; O. Antipin, M. Mojaza, and F. Sannino, arXiv:1512.06708 [hep-ph]; F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.06715 [hep-ph]; J. Cao, C. Han, L. Shang, W. Su, J. M. Yang, and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.06728 [hep-ph]; F. P. Huang, C. S. Li, Z. L. Liu, and Y. Wang, arXiv:1512.06732 [hep-ph]; W. Liao and H.-q. Zheng, arXiv:1512.06741 [hep-ph]; J. J. Heckman, arXiv:1512.06773 [hep-ph]; M. Dhuria and G. Goswami, arXiv:1512.06782 [hep-ph]; X.-J. Bi, Q.-F. Xiang, P.-F. Yin, and Z.-H. Yu, arXiv:1512.06787 [hep-ph]; J. S. Kim, K. Rolbiecki, and R. R. de Austri, arXiv:1512.06797 [hep-ph]; L. Berthier, J. M. Cline, W. Shepherd, and M. Trott, arXiv:1512.06799 [hep-ph]; W. S. Cho, D. Kim, K. Kong, S. H. Lim, K. T. Matchev, J.-C. Park, and M. Park, arXiv:1512.06824 [hep-ph]; J. M. Cline and Z. Liu, arXiv:1512.06827 [hep-ph]; M. Bauer and M. Neubert, arXiv:1512.06828 [hep-ph]; M. Chala, M. Duerr, F. Kahlhoefer, and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, arXiv:1512.06833 [hep-ph]; K. Kulkarni, arXiv:1512.06836 [hep-ph]; D. Barducci, A. Goudelis, S. Kulkarni, and D. Sengupta, arXiv:1512.06842 [hep-ph]; S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi, and A. Vicente, arXiv:1512.06878 [hep-ph]; C. W. Murphy, arXiv:1512.06976 [hep-ph]; A. E. C. Hernandez and I. Nisandzic, arXiv:1512.07165 [hep-ph]; U. K. Dey, S. Mohanty, and G. Tomar, arXiv:1512.07212 [hep-ph]; G. M. Pelaggi, A. Strumia, and E. Vigiani, arXiv:1512.07225 [hep-ph]; J. de Blas, J. Santiago, and R. Vega-Morales, arXiv:1512.07229 [hep-ph]; A. Belyaev, G. Cacciapaglia, H. Cai, T. Flacke, A. Parolini, and H. Serodio, arXiv:1512.07242 [hep-ph]; P. S. B. Dev and D. Teresi, arXiv:1512.07243 [hep-ph]; W.-C. Huang, Y.-L. S. Tsai, and T.-C. Yuan, arXiv:1512.07268 [hep-ph]; S. Moretti and K. Yagyu, arXiv:1512.07462 [hep-ph]; K. M. Patel and P. Sharma, arXiv:1512.07468 [hep-ph]; M. Badziak, arXiv:1512.07497 [hep-ph]; S. Chakraborty, A. Chakraborty, and S. Raychaudhuri, arXiv:1512.07527 [hep-ph]; Q.-H. Cao, S.-L. Chen, and P.-H. Gu, arXiv:1512.07541 [hep-ph]; W. Altmannshofer, J. Galloway, S. Gori, A. L. Kagan, A. Martin, and J. Zupan,arXiv:1512.07616 [hep-ph]; M. Cveti, J. Halverson, and P. Langacker, arXiv:1512.07622 [hep-ph]; J. Gu and Z. Liu, arXiv:1512.07624 [hep-ph]; B. C. Allanach, P. S. B. Dev, S. A. Renner, and K. Sakurai, arXiv:1512.07645 [hep-ph]; H. Davoudiasl and C. Zhang, arXiv:1512.07672 [hep-ph]; N. Craig, P. Draper, C. Kilic, and S. Thomas, arXiv:1512.07733 [hep-ph]; K. Das and S. K. Rai, arXiv:1512.07789 [hep-ph]; K. Cheung, P. Ko, J. S. Lee, J. Park, and P.-Y. Tseng, arXiv:1512.07853 [hep-ph]; J. Liu, X.-P. Wang, and W. Xue, arXiv:1512.07885 [hep-ph]; J. Zhang and S. Zhou, arXiv:1512.07889 [hep-ph]; J. A. Casas, J. R. Espinosa, and J. M. Moreno, arXiv:1512.07895 [hep-ph]; L. J. Hall, K. Harigaya, and Y. Nomura, arXiv:1512.07904 [hep-ph]; H. Han, S. Wang, and S. Zheng, arXiv:1512.07992 [hep-ph]; J.-C. Park and S. C. Park, arXiv:1512.08117 [hep-ph]; A. Salvio and A. Mazumdar, arXiv:1512.08184 [hep-ph]; D. Chway, R. Dermisek, T. H. Jung, and H. D. Kim, arXiv:1512.08221 [hep-ph]; G. Li, Y.-n. Mao, Y.-L. Tang, C. Zhang, Y. Zhou, and S.-h. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08255 [hep-ph]; M. Son and A. Urbano, arXiv:1512.08307 [hep-ph]; Y.-L. Tang and S.-h. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08323 [hep-ph]; H. An, C. Cheung, and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08378 [hep-ph]; J. Cao, F. Wang, and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08392 [hep-ph]; F. Wang, W. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08434 [hep-ph]; C. Cai, Z.-H. Yu, and H.-H. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08440 [hep-ph]; Q.-H. Cao, Y. Liu, K.-P. Xie, B. Yan, and D.-M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08441 [hep-ph]; J. E. Kim, arXiv:1512.08467 [hep-ph]; J. Gao, H. Zhang, and H. X. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08478 [hep-ph]; W. Chao, arXiv:1512.08484 [hep-ph]; X.-J. Bi, R. Ding, Y. Fan, L. Huang, C. Li, T. Li, S. Raza, X.-C. Wang, and B. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08497 [hep-ph]; F. Goertz, J. F. Kamenik, A. Katz, and M. Nardecchia, arXiv:1512.08500 [hep-ph]; L. A. Anchordoqui, I. Antoniadis, H. Goldberg, X. Huang, D. Lust, and T. R. Taylor, arXiv:1512.08502 [hep-ph]; P. S. B. Dev, R. N. Mohapatra, and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08507 [hep-ph]; N. Bizot, S. Davidson, M. Frigerio, and J. L. Kneur, arXiv:1512.08508 [hep-ph]; L. E. Ibanez and V. Martin-Lozano, arXiv:1512.08777 [hep-ph]; C.-W. Chiang, M. Ibe, and T. T. Yanagida, arXiv:1512.08895 [hep-ph]; S. K. Kang and J. Song, arXiv:1512.08963 [hep-ph]; Y. Hamada, T. Noumi, S. Sun, and G. Shiu, arXiv:1512.08984 [hep-ph]; X.-J. Huang, W.-H. Zhang, and Y.-F. Zhou, arXiv:1512.08992 [hep-ph]; S. Kanemura, K. Nishiwaki, H. Okada, Y. Orikasa, S. C. Park, and R. Watanabe, arXiv:1512.09048 [hep-ph]; S. Kanemura, N. Machida, S. Odori, and T. Shindou, arXiv:1512.09053 [hep-ph]; I. Low and J. Lykken, arXiv:1512.09089 [hep-ph]; A. E. C. Hernandez, arXiv:1512.09092 [hep-ph]; Y. Jiang, Y.-Y. Li, and T. Liu, arXiv:1512.09127 [hep-ph]; K. Kaneta, S. Kang, and H.-S. Lee, arXiv:1512.09129 [hep-ph]; L. Marzola, A. Racioppi, M. Raidal, F. R. Urban, and H. Veermae, arXiv:1512.09136 [hep-ph]; A. Dasgupta, M. Mitra, and D. Borah, arXiv:1512.09202 [hep-ph]; S. Jung, J. Song, and Y. W. Yoon, arXiv:1601.00006 [hep-ph]; C. T. Potter, arXiv:1601.00240 [hep-ph]; E. Palti, arXiv:1601.00285 [hep-ph]; T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1601.00386 [hep-ph]; X.-F. Han, L. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, arXiv:1601.00534 [hep-ph]; P. Ko, Y. Omura, and C. Yu, arXiv:1601.00586 [hep-ph]; K. Ghorbani and H. Ghorbani, arXiv:1601.00602 [hep-ph]; D. Palle, arXiv:1601.00618 [hep-ph]; U. Danielsson, R. Enberg, G. Ingelman, and T. Mandal, arXiv:1601.00624 [hep-ph]; W. Chao, arXiv:1601.00633 [hep-ph]; C. Csaki, J. Hubisz, S. Lombardo, and J. Terning, arXiv:1601.00638 [hep-ph]; A. Karozas, S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris, and A. K. Meadowcroft, arXiv:1601.00640 [hep-ph]; A. E. C. Hernandez, I. d. M. Varzielas, and E. Schumacher, arXiv:1601.00661 [hep-ph]; T. Modak, S. Sadhukhan, and R. Srivastava, arXiv:1601.00836 [hep-ph]; B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, I. Gogoladze, T. Li, Q. Shafi, and J. W. Walker, arXiv:1601.00866 [hep-ph]; F. F. Deppisch, C. Hati, S. Patra, P. Pritimita, and

U. Sarkar, arXiv:1601.00952 [hep-ph]; H. Ito, T. Moroi, and Y. Takaesu, arXiv:1601.01144 [hep-ph]; H. Zhang, arXiv:1601.01355 [hep-ph]; A. Berlin, arXiv:1601.01381 [hep-ph]; S. Bhattacharya, S. Patra, N. Sahoo, and N. Sahu, arXiv:1601.01569 [hep-ph]; F. D'Eramo, J. de Vries, and P. Panci, arXiv:1601.01571 [hep-ph]; I. Sahin, arXiv:1601.01676 [hep-ph]; S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff, and C. Royon, arXiv:1601.01712 [hep-ph]; D. Borah, S. Patra, and S. Sahoo, arXiv:1601.01828 [hep-ph]; D. Stolarski and R. Vega-Morales, arXiv:1601.02004 [hep-ph]; C. Hati, arXiv:1601.02457 [hep-ph]; M. T. Arun and D. Choudhury, arXiv:1601.02321 [hep-ph]; M. Fabbrichesi and A. Urbano, arXiv:1601.02447 [hep-ph]; P. Ko and T. Nomura, arXiv:1601.02490 [hep-ph]; J. Cao, L. Shang, W. Su, Y. Zhang, and J. Zhu, arXiv:1601.02570 [hep-ph]; J.-H. Yu, arXiv:1601.02609 [hep-ph]; R. Ding, Z.-L. Han, Y. Liao, and X.-D. Ma, arXiv:1601.02714 [hep-ph]; S. Alexander and L. Smolin, arXiv:1601.03091 [hep-ph]; J. H. Davis, M. Fairbairn, J. Heal, and P. Tunney, arXiv:1601.03153 [hep-ph]; L. V. Laperashvili, H. B. Nielsen, and C. R. Das, arXiv:1601.03231 [hep-ph]; I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, and N. Kosnik, arXiv:1601.03267 [hep-ph]; A. E. Faraggi and J. Rizos, arXiv:1601.03604 [hep-ph]; A. Djouadi, J. Ellis, R. Godbole, and J. Quevillon, arXiv:1601.03696 [hep-ph]; L. A. Harland-Lang, V. A. Khoze, and M. G. Ryskin, arXiv:1601.03772 [hep-ph]; T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1601.04516 [hep-ph]; W. Chao, arXiv:1601.04678 [hep-ph]; M. R. Buckley, arXiv:1601.04751 [hep-ph]; A. Ghoshal, arXiv:1601.04291 [hep-ph]; X.-F. Han, L. Wang, and J. M. Yang, arXiv:1601.04954 [hep-ph]; H. Okada and K. Yagyu, arXiv:1601.05038 [hep-ph]; D. B. Franzosi and M. T. Frandsen, arXiv:1601.05357 [hep-ph]; A. Martini, K. Mawatari, and D. Sengupta, arXiv:1601.05729 [hep-ph]; Q.-H. Cao, Y.-Q. Gong, X. Wang, B. Yan, and L. L. Yang, arXiv:1601.06374 [hep-ph]; C.-W. Chiang and A.-L. Kuo, arXiv:1601.06394 [hep-ph]; U. Aydemir and T. Mandal, arXiv:1601.06761 [hep-ph]; S. Abel and V. V. Khoze, arXiv:1601.07167 [hep-ph]; L. A. Harland-Lang, V. A. Khoze, and M. G. Ryskin, arXiv:1601.07187 [hep-ph]; S. F. King and R. Nevzorov, arXiv:1601.07242 [hep-ph]; T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1601.07339 [hep-ph]; C.-Q. Geng and D. Huang, arXiv:1601.07385 [hep-ph]; B. J. Kavanagh, arXiv:1601.07330 [hep-ph]; J. Kawamura and Y. Omura, arXiv:1601.07396 [hep-ph]; E. Bertuzzo, P. A. N. Machado, and M. Taoso, arXiv:1601.07508 [hep-ph]; I. Ben-Dayan and R. Brustein,arXiv:1601.07564 [hep-ph]; A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, arXiv:1601.07774 [hep-ph]; A. Hektor and L. Marzola, arXiv:1602.00004 [hep-ph]; N. D. Barrie, A. Kobakhidze, M. Talia, and L. Wu, arXiv:1602.00475 [hep-ph]; L. Aparicio, A. Azatov, E. Hardy, and A. Romanino, arXiv:1602.00949 [hep-ph]; R. Ding, Y. Fan, L. Huang, C. Li, T. Li, S. Raza, and B. Zhu, arXiv:1602.00977 [hep-ph]; K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, arXiv:1602.01092 [hep-ph]; T. Li, J. A. Maxin, V. E. Mayes, and D. V. Nanopoulos, arXiv:1602.01377 [hep-ph]; A. Salvio, F. Staub, A. Strumia, and A. Urbano, arXiv:1602.01460 [hep-ph]; S.-F. Ge, H.-J. He, J. Ren, and Z.-Z. Xianyu, arXiv:1602.01801 [hep-ph]; S. I. Godunov, A. N. Rozanov, M. I. Vysotsky, and E. V. Zhemchugov, arXiv:1602.02380 [hep-ph]; U. Ellwanger and C. Hugonie, arXiv:1602.03344 [hep-ph]; P. Draper and D. McKeen, arXiv:1602.03604 [hep-ph]; C. Arbelaez, A. E. C. Hernandez, S. Kovalenko, and I. Schmidt, arXiv:1602.03607 [hep-ph]; K. J. Bae, M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, and T. Moroi, arXiv:1602.03653 [hep-ph]; C. Gross, O. Lebedev, and J. M. No, arXiv:1602.03877 [hep-ph]; Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, K. Kawana, and K. Tsumura, arXiv:1602.04170 [hep-ph]; C. Han, T. T. Yanagida, and N. Yokozaki, arXiv:1602.04204 [hep-ph]; B. Dasgupta, J. Kopp, and P. Schwaller, arXiv:1602.04692 [hep-ph]; C. Frugiuele, E. Fuchs, G. Perez, and M. Schlaffer, arXiv:1602.04822 [hep-ph] C. Delaunay and Y. Soreq, arXiv:1602.04838 [hep-ph]; Y.-J. Zhang, B.-B. Zhou, and J.-J. Sun, arXiv:1602.05539 [hep-ph]; F. Staub, P. Athron, L. Basso, M. D. Goodsell, D. Harries, M. E. Krauss, K. Nickel, T. Opferkuch, L. Ubaldi, A. Vicente, A. Voigt, arXiv:1602.05581 [hep-ph]; S. Baek and J.-h. Park, arXiv:1602.05588 [hep-ph]; G. Lazarides and Q. Shafi, arXiv:1602.07866 [hep-ph]; C. Bonilla, M. Nebot, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, arXiv:1602.08092 [hep-ph]; T. Li, J. A. Maxin, V. E. Mayes, and D. V. Nanopoulos, arXiv:1602.09099 [hep-ph]; J. Bernon, A. Goudelis, S. Kraml, K. Mawatari, and D. Sengupta, arXiv:1603.03421 [hep-ph]. D. T. Huong and P. V. Dong, arXiv:1603.05146 [hep-ph]. G. Arcadi, P. Ghosh, Y. Mambrini and M. Pierre, arXiv:1603.05601 [hep-ph]. M. Bauer, C. Hoerner and M. Neubert,arXiv:1603.05978 [hep-ph]. J. F. Kamenik, B. R. Safdi, Y. Soreq and J. Zupan, arXiv:1603.06566 [hep-ph].

[4] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999), hep-ph/9905221.

[5] P. Mathews, V. Ravindran, and K. Sridhar, JHEP **10**, 031 (2005), hep-ph/0506158.

[6] Q. Li, C. S. Li, and L. L. Yang, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 056002 (2006), hep-ph/0606045.

[7] M. C. Kumar, P. Mathews, V. Ravindran, and A. Tripathi, Nucl. Phys. **B818**, 28 (2009), arXiv:0902.4894.

[8] J. Gao, C. S. Li, B. H. Li, C. P. Yuan, and H. X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. **D82**, 014020 (2010), arXiv:1004.0876.

[9] S. Dawson, Nucl. Phys. B **359**, 283 (1991).

[10] S. Catani, D. de Florian and M. Grazzini, JHEP **0105**, 025 (2001) [hep-ph/0102227].

[11] R. V. Harlander and W. B. Kilgore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 201801 (2002) [hep-ph/0201206].

[12] C. Anastasiou and K. Melnikov, Nucl. Phys. B **646**, 220 (2002) [hep-ph/0207004].

[13] V. Ravindran, J. Smith and W. L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B **665**, 325 (2003) [hep-ph/0302135].

[14] C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 262002 (2004) [hep-ph/0409088].

[15] C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, Nucl. Phys. B **724**, 197 (2005) [hep-ph/0501130].

[16] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, F. Herzog and B. Mistlberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 212001 (2015) [arXiv:1503.06056 [hep-ph]].

[17] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, and M. E. Luke, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 014006 (2000), hep-ph/0005275.

[18] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 114020 (2001), hep-ph/0011336.

[19] C. W. Bauer and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Lett. **B516**, 134 (2001), hep-ph/0107001.

[20] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 054022 (2002), hep-ph/0109045.

[21] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol, I. Z. Rothstein, and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 014017 (2002), hep-ph/0202088.
[22] S. B. Giddings and H. Zhang, arXiv:1602.02793 [hep-ph].
[23] S. Dulat *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 3, 033006 (2016) [arXiv:1506.07443 [hep-ph]].
[24] T. Becher, M. Neubert and G. Xu, JHEP **0807**, 030 (2008) [arXiv:0710.0680 [hep-ph]].
[25] V. Ahrens, T. Becher, M. Neubert and L. L. Yang, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 033013, [0808.3008].